

## Active symptom control (ASC) with or without chemotherapy in the treatment of patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. The UK Medical Research Council/British Thoracic Society MS01 randomised clinical trial

M. Muers<sup>1</sup>, P. Fisher<sup>2</sup>, M. O'Brien<sup>3</sup>, M. Peake<sup>4</sup>, R. Rudd<sup>5</sup>,  
M. Snee<sup>6</sup>, J. Steele<sup>5</sup>, M. Nankivell<sup>7</sup>, C. Pugh<sup>7</sup>, R.J. Stephens<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Leeds General Infirmary, Respiratory Medicine, Leeds, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>Weston Park Hospital, Dept of Clinical Oncology, Sheffield, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Royal Marsden Hospital, Dept of Medical Oncology, London, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>Glenfield Hospital, Dept of Oncology, Leicester, United Kingdom; <sup>5</sup>St Bartholomews Hospital, Dept of Oncology, London, United Kingdom; <sup>6</sup>Cookridge Hospital, Dept of Clinical Oncology, Leeds, United Kingdom; <sup>7</sup>MRC Clinical Trials Unit, Cancer Group, London, United Kingdom

**Background:** Mesothelioma is invariably fatal and virtually all treatments are given with the primary aim of relieving symptoms. Although chemotherapy is now widely used it has never been compared in a randomized trial with ASC alone. Two chemotherapy regimens were chosen for investigation: MVP and vinorelbine which had both shown good palliation in phase II studies.

**Methods:** Patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma were randomized to (a) ASC alone, (b) ASC+MVP (4 × 3-weekly cycles of mitomycin 6 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, vinblastine 6 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, and cisplatin 50 mg/m<sup>2</sup>), and (c) ASC+N (12 × weekly injections of vinorelbine 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup>). The primary endpoint was overall survival, and secondary endpoints were response, toxicity, palliation, and quality of life (QL). QL was assessed by patients completing the EORTC QLQ-C30. Slow accrual forced a re-design from a 3-arm to a 2-arm trial by combining the 2 chemotherapy arms and aiming to accrue 420 patients, to reliably detect a 3-month improvement in median survival (76% power, 5% significance level).

**Results:** A total of 409 patients were randomized (136 ASC, 137 ASC+MVP, and 136 ASC+N). The median age of the patients was 65 years, 91% were male, 23% performance status (PS) 0, 63% PS 1, 73% epithelial histology, 33% stage III and 48% stage IV. The main (moderate or severe) symptoms at the time of randomization were: lethargy (54%), chest pain (51%), breathlessness (41%) and sweating (30%). In the MVP arm 61% of patients received 4 cycles and in the N arm 49% received at least 10 weekly cycles of vinorelbine. All 3 treatment groups resulted in good palliation (defined as prevention, control or improvement) at 6 months. No differences between treatments or over time were observed in 4 pre-defined QL subscales (physical functioning, dyspnoea, pain and global QL). 349 patients have died, and a small, but non-significant, survival benefit was seen for ASC+CT (HR 0.89, 95% CI 0.72, 1.12, p=0.32). The median and 1-year survival for the ASC arm was 7.6 months and 30%, and applying the HR to this, gave 8.5 months and 34% for the ASC+CT arm. Exploratory analyses suggested a survival advantage for vinorelbine compared with ASC (HR 0.81, 95% CI 0.63, 1.05).

**Conclusions:** The MRC/BTS MS01 trial is the 2nd largest ever randomized trial in mesothelioma and although overall the addition of chemotherapy to ASC did not result in a statistically significant survival benefit, there was a suggestion that vinorelbine should be investigated further.